

SECRET

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 9 November 1971

25X1 1. ([ ] - JMM) Talked to Mr. Ed Braswell, Chief Counsel, Senate Armed Services Committee, regarding Senate reactions to the White House announcement regarding the intelligence community. See Memo for Record.

25X1A Mr. Braswell asked if there was any statutory justification for keeping the CIA budget classified. I said the National Security Act of 1947 contained provisions which, by implication, required that the budget be handled on a classified basis and I asked [ ] to get on the phone and read the specific wording to Braswell.

25X1 In the same conversation, I told Mr. Braswell of our problem with [ ], who was insisting that members of his personal staff be included in a discussion on sensitive Agency matters. Braswell felt strongly that, on the basis of maintaining the need-to-know principle, this is something we could not agree to.

Later in the day, I met with Messrs. Braswell, Woolsey, Goldsmith and Ticer and discussed certain matters arising from the White House announcement regarding the intelligence community. (See Memo for the Record.) I left with them a copy of the announcement.

Referring to our problem with [ ], Braswell reiterated his feeling that we definitely should not brief members of [ ] staff regarding Agency matters, and thought a letter or phone call from the Director might be a good idea.

25X1A I briefed Braswell and the others on recent developments regarding the [ ]

25X1A 2. ([ ] - JGO) I accompanied [ ] and conducted a technical sweep of the Investigations Subcommittee hearing room (2337 RHOB). No further action is required in this matter.

SECRET

CRC, 10/8/2003

SECRET

9 November 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Senate Armed Services Committee Staff  
Re White House Announcement on Intelligence Community

1. In discussing the above subject this afternoon with Messrs. Braswell, Woolsey, Goldsmith and Ticer, of the Committee staff, it appeared that there has been a number of questions raised by Committee members regarding the true purposes and implications regarding the recent White House announcement on the intelligence community. From within the Committee, but more especially from the Foreign Relations Committee, there seemed to be an impression that the Director had been "kicked upstairs" and thereby isolated, so that the running of CIA, like the other intelligence agencies, would be placed in the hands of trusted Administration partisans. The argument was also being made, especially by Senator Symington, that most of the active intelligence machinery of the Government would be in the hands of professional military men.

2. I tried to refute these contentions, pointing out the long-standing concern within the Administration and in the Congress over the untidy financial affairs in the intelligence community and the need for a fully coordinated national intelligence budget. I said I thought this was certainly an adequate explanation for the President's move. I added that the Director had always worn two hats and that certainly the present Director showed no signs that he was going to relinquish his authority over CIA.


3. Mr. Braswell thought it might be useful to have the Director talk personally to Senator Symington and try to straighten him out on the matter. I asked whether an early Subcommittee session for this purpose might be a good idea. The others agreed, and then we discussed the possibility of a joint session of our two Subcommittees, although we recognized that in view of the personalities involved it was doubtful this could be brought about. Mr. Woolsey noted that the White House announcement dealt with matters beyond CIA and wondered whether the Director's appearance before the full Senate Armed Services Committee to explain his concept of his new role might not be useful. I said I couldn't speak for the

SECRET

SECRET

Director on any of this but I doubted that, in any event, he would want to undertake such a meeting until the situation had cleared up a bit. It was agreed that I would raise this possibility with the Director before any of the staff raised it with Senator Stennis.

25X1A



JOHN M. MAURY  
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

Original - Committee file  
1 - Organization file  
1 - Chrono

SECRET<sup>2</sup>